

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

**SINCERE TERRY et al.,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**CITY OF OKLAHOMA CITY,  
OKLAHOMA, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**Case No. CIV-22-522-G**

**ORDER**

Now before the Court are a Motion to Strike (Doc. No. 29) and two Motions to Dismiss (Doc. Nos. 32, 35), filed regarding Plaintiffs' original Complaint (Doc. No. 1). Plaintiffs have now timely filed an Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 39). Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint supersedes the original Complaint and renders it of no legal effect. *See Davis v. TXO Prod. Corp.*, 929 F.2d 1515, 1517 (10th Cir. 1991).

Accordingly, the pending Motions (Doc. Nos. 29, 32, 35) are DENIED AS MOOT.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 26th day of September, 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHARLES B. GOODWIN  
United States District Judge